

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,951	BOLDIZAR ET AL.	
All Participants: (1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> . (2) <u>Mr. Biederman</u> .	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733	
Status of Application: _____			
Date of Interview: <u>31 August 2006</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
Part III. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Informed Applicant that improper status identifier used for claim 7 in the RCE/Amendment dated 8/24/06 but this would be corrected in the examiner's amd. attached herewith; therefore, Applicant told to ignore the notice of non-compliant amd. sent by the office after filing of the RCE but before mailing of the notice of allowance. Applicant also agreed to informal changes in claims 1 and 6 to improve the grammar of these claims - in part a), language of first two lines changed to --first and second double-sided pressure sensitive adhesive layers on bottom and top surfaces of a subpad, respectively,--.